

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NASSAU 01409 282224Z

71

ACTION ARA-20

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03

INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03

SS-15 USIA-15 ACDA-19 IO-15 OMB-01 TRSE-00 AID-20

IGA-02 DRC-01 /175 W

----- 095401

R 281839Z SEP 73

FM AMEMBASSY NASSAU

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4683

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

CINCLANT NORVA

C O N F I D E N T I A L NASSAU 1409

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR US BF

SUBJ: NEXT PHASE OF FACILITIES TALKS - BRITISH VIEWS

REF: NASSAU 1331

1. UK HICOMER TREADWELL CALLED ON AMBASSADOR UNDER INSTRUCTIONS SEPTEMBER 28. NOTING HE HAD REPORTED TO LONDON AFTER AMBASSADOR'S BRIEFING (REFTEL), TREADWELL SAID LONDON HAD, IN REACTING, MADE THREE POINTS:

A. THEY PROPOSED SENDING TEAM FROM LONDON NOW TO REVIEW WITH TREADWELL "STEERING BRIEF" ON UK POSITION FOR SUBSTANCE OF NEXT PHASE OF TALKS, AND TO MEET WITH BOTH TREADWELL AND AMBASSADOR TO REVIEW THE "FORWARD PROGRESS" OF NEGOTIATIONS;

B. THEY THOUGHT NEGOTIATION ON THE QUID SHOULD BE TRILATERAL;

C. THEY THOUGHT THAT, AFTER BILATERAL AGREEMENT IN PRINCIPLE WAS REACHED WITH EXTAFFMIN ADDERLEY ON THE AMBASSADOR-HICOMER LEVEL, A FURTHER TRILATERAL SHOULD BE HELD AT THE "OFFICIAL" (RODNEY BAIN) LEVEL BEFORE ANYTHING WAS SUBMITTED TO UK
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NASSAU 01409 282224Z

MINISTERS.

2. ON POINT A, AMBASSADOR SAID THAT AS FAR AS HE WAS CONCERNED HE WAS PREPARED TO MEET WITH A TEAM FROM LONDON TO REVIEW "PROGRESS", BUT WAS NOT SURE HE SAW THE REASON FOR SUCH A MEETING, SINCE HE COULD NOT SAY MORE THAN WHAT HE HAD TOLD TREADWELL ON SEPTEMBER 18, AT LEAST BEFORE HE HAD THOROUGHLY REVIEWED SURVEY TEAM REPORT AND DETERMINED EFFECT ITS CONTENTS ON OUR FUTURE TACTICS. AMBASSADOR REEMPHASIZED THAT WE WANTED CLOSEST POSSIBLE CONTACT BETWEEN TREADWELL AND HIMSELF ON ALL PHASES OF THE TALKS. TREADWELL AGREED PERSONALLY THAT HE FAILED TO SEE THE NECESSITY FOR THIS PROPOSAL.

3. ON POINT B, AMBASSADOR SAID HE WAS CONCERNED AT APPARENT BRITISH RELUCTANCE TO MOVE OUT OF TRILATERAL PHASE AND RESTATED ARGUMENTS GIVEN REFTEL AND STATE 178786. TREADWELL AGREED THAT HE PERSONALLY PREFERRED COORDINATED BILATERALS AT THE EXTAFFMIN-AMBASSADOR-HICOMER LEVEL, BUT THAT LONDON SEEMED NOT TO. TREADWELL SAID THAT LONDON SEEMED NOT TO HAVE PROBLEMS WITH THE PROSPECT OF BILATERALS ON OPERATING RIGHTS AND SOFA, BUT APPARENTLY WANTS TO CONTINUE TRILATERALS ON THE QUID. THE AMBASSADOR SAID THAT CLEARLY WE SHOULD TRY TO ENSURE THAT OUR BILATERAL QUIDS REINFORCE EACH OTHER, BUT THAT FOLLOWING FORMAL TRILATEAL FORMAT WAS NOT IN HIS JUDGMENT THE BEST WAY TO REACH A MUTUALLY SATISFACTORY OUTCOME OF THE TALKS. HE EMPHASIZED THAT THE NECESSITY FOR COORDINATION WAS RECOGNIZED, BUT THAT IT SHOULD OCCUR INFORMALLY ON THE AMBASSADOR-HICOMER LEVEL IN ADVANCE OF AND IN WAKE OF BILATERAL MEETINGS.

4. AMBASSADOR SAID THAT POINT C SEEMED TO HIM TO BE A "BACKWARD APPROACH". HE THOUGHT MOST DESIRABLE A SITUATION IN WHICH AGREEMENTS WERE REACHED VIA ADDERLEY-AMBASSADOR-HICOMER LEVEL TALKS, THEN REFERRED TO CAPITALS FOR FINAL AUTHORITY TO SIGN WHICH WOULD PRESUMABLY BE FORTHCOMING RAPIDLY SINCE US AND UK POSITIONS WOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN UNDER INSTRUCTIONS FROM CAPITALS. RATIONALE FOR PROPOSAL TO ADD AN INTERMEDIARY STEP OF FORMAL TRILATERALS ON THE RODNEY BAIN LEVEL, I.E. ONE LEVEL BELOW ADDERLEY, AFTER AGREEMENT AT HIGHER LEVEL BUT BEFORE SUBMISSION TO CAPITALS, WAS HARD TO FATHOM. TREADWELL SAID HE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND IT EITHER.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NASSAU 01409 282224Z

5. TREADWELL SAID THAT HE WOULD REPORT THE AMBASSADOR'S REACTIONS TO LONDON. HE ASKED WHETHER THE AMBASSADOR'S VIEWS REFLECTED THOSE OF WASHINGTON. THE AMBASSADOR SAID HIS COMMENTS WERE PERSONAL, HE WOULD OF COURSE REPORT UK SUGGESTIONS TO WASHINGTON BUT BELIEVED HIS REACTIONS REFLECTED WASHINGTON POINT OF VIEW.

6. COMMENT: THE AMBASSADOR WAS CAREFUL TO EMPHASIZE THAT OUR PREFERENCE FOR MOVING TO A BILATERAL PHASE WAS BASED ON CONSIDERATIONS OF EASE IN REACHING AGREEMENT AND AVOIDING THE WHEEL-SPINNING THAT HAD OCCURED PREVIOUSLY AT THE RODNEY BAIN LEVEL, AND THAT WE DID NOT BASE OUR PREFERENCE ON A FEELING THAT WE COULD REACH A BETTER AGREEMENT WITHOUT THE BRITISH. TREADWELL SEEMED TO GRASP THIS WELL AND AGREED WITH THE AMBASSADOR'S VIEW OF ALL THREE POINTS. WE WOULD APPRECIATE ANY COMMENTS AMEMBASSY LONDON HAS RE FCO-MOD MOTIVES AND CONCERNS WHICH MAY UNDERLIE THEIR APPROACH. DEPARTMENT MAY FEEL IT USEFUL TO TELL BRITISH OR AUTHORIZE EMBASSY TO DO SO THAT AT SOME STAGE AN INFORMAL TRILATERAL SESSION ON THE QUID AMONG ADDERLEY, AMBASSADOR AND HICOMER MIGHT BE USEFUL, BUT THAT THIS SHOULD BE PLAYED BY EAR RATHER THAN APPROACHED AS A NECESSARY ELEMENT.

SPIERS

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 28 SEP 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NASSAU01409
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS SPIERS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: NASSAU
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973093/aaaaacfa.tel
Line Count: 131
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION ARA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: NASSAU 1331
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 16 JAN 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <16-Jan-2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <05-Feb-2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NEXT PHASE OF FACILITIES TALKS - BRITISH VIEWS
TAGS: MARR, US, BF
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005